

UNITED TATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

NATO

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
00/700.076	12/08/97	⁷ JEJELOWO		M	970001
┌ JAIMES SHE	D	IM62/0109	٦	EXAMINER	
UNIVATION	TECHNOLOGIE			RABAG(J,R
SSS SAN F	ELIPE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1950 HOUSTON TX			•	1713	21
				DATE MAILED:	01/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Into	rviow	Sumn	narv
mue	I VIE VV	Sullill	iai v

Application No. 08/986,696

Applicant(s)

Jejelowo et al.

Examiner

R. Rabago

Group Art Unit 1713

All par	ticipants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):			
(1) Ex.	R. Rabago	(3) Mr. M. Kurtzman			
(2) <i>Mr</i>	. J. Sher	(4)			
	f Interview Jan 4, 2001	_			
Туре:		applicant applicant's representa	ative).		
Exhibit	shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description:			
Agreer	ment 🗌 was reached. 🛛 was not reached.				
Claim(s) discussed: all pending				
	ication of prior art discussed: gton, Doyle (of record)				
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative asserted that a prima facie case of obviousness has not been made because: a) applicants have found that the claimed process results in unexpected improvements, and b) the references provide no motivation for selecting the claimed metallocene. For the comparative examples shown in the specification, the examiner was in agreement regarding unexpected properties. However, it was maintained that given the scopes of the both the claims (rather broad) and the comparative examples (quite narrow), the showing was not deemed to be commensurate with what is shown in the examples of the reference (which shows copolymerization of ethylene and cyclic olefins using a mono-Cp hafnocene substituted with t-butyl). Applicants indicated that they will consider amending either the metallocene structure or the process conditions of the independent claims to further distinguish from the disclosure of the reference.					
the cla	er description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer ims allowable must be attached. Also, where no c lable, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
1. 🛛	It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the inter-	view.		
LAST Section	the paragraph above has been checked to indicate DFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL n 713.04). If a response to the last Office action h THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT O	UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVI as already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIV	IEW. (See MPEP VEN ONE MONTH		
2.	Since the Examiner's interview summary above (in each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is Office action. Applicant is not relieved from provision also checked.	ts that may be present in the last Office considered to fulfill the response require	action, and since the ments of the last		
			DAVID W. WU		

E

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700